

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE PIL8015.011 1908 10/707,909 01/23/2004 Donald H. Wiseman **EXAMINER** 26629 08/25/2004 7590 ZIOLKOWSKI PATENT SOLUTIONS GROUP, LLC (ZPS) KUNEMUND, ROBERT M 14135 NORTH CEDARBURG ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MEQUON, WI 53097 1765

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/707,909	WISEMAN, DONALD H.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert M Kunemund	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( 1y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH 1by statute, cause the application to become ARAN	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for		
closed in accordance with the practice ι	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	I1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	correction is required if the drawing(s) the Examiner. Note the attached C	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International I * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in App e priority documents have been rea Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/707,909

Art Unit: 1765

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 9 to 11, 16 to 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haldeman (5,461,215).

The Haldeman reference teaches an induction-heating coil in a crystal growth apparatus. The crystal growth apparatus has a means to receive a material for growth, which is to be heated. The heating means is an induction coil, note col. 1 lines 1-20. The induction means is a Litz coil, note col. 3 lines 2-55. The coils are cooled by water, which is allowed to flow through the Litz coil, note, and cite supra. The coils are in casings, which has two separate ends. The coils are insulted for each other note col. 4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 7, 8, 12 to 15 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haldeman.

The Haldeman reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the construction of the coil. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum, operable means of construction, such as controllers,

Application/Control Number: 10/707,909

**Art Unit: 1765** 

encasements, tie downs in the Haldeman reference in order to protect the coils during growth and secure the coils so that the coils do not cause vibrations during the growth which would ruin the crystals.

Claims 4 and 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haldeman.

The Haldeman reference is relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs from the instant claims in the method of growth. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimum, operable means of growth which best use the Litz coils in the Haldeman reference in order to decrease energy use in the crystal growing methods. Further, the efficiency of the coils would inherently be similar as there is seen no difference between the Litz coils of the claims and the prior art.

## Examiners' Remarks

The remaining references are merely cited of interest as showing the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

**Art Unit: 1765** 

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RMK** 

ROBERT KUNEMUND PRIMARY EXAMINER